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THIS REPORT summarizes major findings about water quality in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins that emerged from an assessment conducted between 1996 and 1998 by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program. Water quality is discussed in terms of local and regional issues and compared to conditions found in all 36 NAWQA study areas, called Study Units, assessed to date. Findings are also explained in the context of selected national benchmarks, such as those for drinking-water quality and the protection of aquatic organisms. The NAWQA Program was not intended to assess the quality of the Nation’s drinking water, such as by monitoring water from household taps. Rather, the assessments focus on the quality of the resource itself, thereby complementing many ongoing Federal, State, and local drinking-water monitoring programs. The comparisons made in this report to drinking-water standards and guidelines are only in the context of the available untreated resource. Finally, this report includes information about the status of aquatic communities and the condition of in-stream habitats as elements of a complete water-quality assessment.

Many topics covered in this report reflect the concerns of officials of State and Federal agencies, water-resource managers, and members of stakeholder groups who provided advice and input during the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins assessment. Study-area residents who wish to know more about water quality in the areas where they live will find this report informative as well.

THE NAWQA PROGRAM seeks to improve scientific and public understanding of water quality in the Nation’s major river basins and ground-water systems. Better understanding facilitates effective resource management, accurate identification of water-quality priorities, and successful development of strategies that protect and restore water quality. Guided by a nationally consistent study design and shaped by ongoing communication with local, State, and Federal agencies, NAWQA assessments support the investigation of local issues and trends while providing a firm foundation for understanding water quality at regional and national scales. The ability to integrate local and national scales of data collection and analysis is a unique feature of the USGS NAWQA Program.

The Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins are one of 51 water-quality assessments initiated since 1991, when the U.S. Congress appropriated funds for the USGS to begin the NAWQA Program. As indicated on the map, 36 assessments have been completed, and 15 more assessments will conclude in 2001. Collectively, these assessments cover about half of the land area of the United States and include water resources that are available to more than 60 percent of the U.S. population.
Stream and River Highlights

Streams and rivers in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins range from those of high quality that support diverse aquatic life to those that are seriously degraded and support few aquatic species and few human uses of the water. Higher quality stream reaches are generally in the northern one-third of the study area and in mountainous areas in eastern sections. These areas are dominated by forest, low-intensity agriculture, and rural communities. Urban development and coal-mining activities through much of the basins have had a significant influence on water quality and aquatic life. Industrial activity in small and large towns has resulted in contaminated streambed sediments and contaminated fish. Acid- and(or) mineral-laden mine drainage from abandoned coal mines is one of the most serious and persistent water-quality problems in the basins, limiting water use and aquatic resources.

- Sulfate concentrations were 5 times greater in streams draining mined areas than in streams draining unmined areas. Sulfate concentration is closely related to coal production in the sampled basins but not as clearly related to pH or dissolved metal concentration. (See page 6.)

- Since 1980, treatment of drainage from active and abandoned mines has generally resulted in improved water quality, with increased pH and lower metal and sulfate concentrations, but diversity and abundance of aquatic organisms remain reduced in comparison to unmined areas. (See pages 7, 20, and 21.)

- Zinc in bed sediment exceeded aquatic-life guidelines at 15 of 50 sites. (See page 9.)

- Arsenic concentrations most often exceeded aquatic-life guidelines in bed sediment in streams draining northern, once glaciated areas, and high concentrations appear to be unrelated to human activity. (See page 10.)

- Streams in forested settings are among the most diverse nationally with respect to aquatic insects among NAWQA sites sampled between 1996 and 1998. (See page 8.)

- A group of now-banned industrial chemicals, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), was detected in 43 percent of sediment and fish-tissue samples. Consumption advisories are in place for several fish species because of PCB and chlordane contamination in some large river reaches. (See pages 11 and 12.)

- Some of the most degraded stream reaches have, since the early 1900s, supported few aquatic organisms. Yet, the quality of many reaches is now improving, and abundant fish and invertebrate populations include sensitive species not seen here in decades. (See page 11.)

The Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins drain 19,145 square miles of Pennsylvania, West Virginia, New York, and Maryland. About 64 percent of the study area is forested; the remainder is a patchwork of land uses. Agriculture (30 percent) is commonly low intensity pasture, dairy, and hay. Urban areas account for about 4 percent of the area, but they include many forested ridges. Coal-mining activities influence water quality in most of the study area but are not visible on this surface land-use map. (Land-use coverage is based on 1991, 1992, and 1993 land-use data.)
In sampled streams in basins dominated by urban or agricultural land, pesticides and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were commonly detected, although generally at concentrations meeting drinking-water and aquatic-life standards and guidelines. (See pages 12–13 and 15–17.)

Pesticide concentrations in stream water exceeded drinking-water guidelines in single samples from each of two basins, one dominated by agriculture and the other dominated by urban land use. (See pages 12 and 13.)

Ground-Water Highlights

Although not regulated, the quality of water from domestic wells—the predominant water source for residents of rural areas—meets Federal standards for drinking water for most substances analyzed in this study. Ground-water supply generally meets or exceeds expectations from wells in the highly permeable glaciofluvial deposits of the valley-fill aquifers in the northwest. Ground-water supply often meets needs but can be meager from wells tapping the water-filled fractures of the fractured-rock aquifers present throughout much of the rest of the study area.

- Compared to ground water in unmined areas of the coal-bearing rocks, water in shallow private domestic wells near reclaimed surface coal mines had higher concentrations of sulfate, iron, and manganese, even after all mining and reclamation had been completed. (See pages 9 and 21.)

- Pesticides were detected more frequently in the valley-fill aquifers of the glacial sediments than in fractured-rock aquifers. (See pages 13-15.)

- Overall, VOCs were detected at very low levels in the 95 ground-water samples analyzed. Gasoline-related compounds were detected slightly more frequently and at slightly higher concentrations in ground water near reclaimed surface coal mines than near unmined areas. (See pages 16 and 17.)

- Nitrate was detected in 62 percent of sampled wells, although only one domestic-well sample exceeded the drinking-water standard for nitrate. (See pages 17 and 18.)

- Radon was detected at levels exceeding the proposed Federal drinking-water standard of 300 pCi/L (picocuries per liter) in 56 percent of the ground-water samples. The proposed alternative standard (4,000 pCi/L) was exceeded in 2 percent of the samples. (See page 19.)

### Table: Selected Indicators of Stream-Water Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Agricultural</th>
<th>Forested</th>
<th>Mining</th>
<th>Mixed Land Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organochlorines</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentage of samples with concentrations equal to or greater than a health-related national guideline for drinking water, aquatic life, or water contact recreation; or above the USEPA goal for preventing excess plant growth in streams.
- Percentage of samples with concentrations less than health-related national guideline for drinking water, aquatic life, or water-contact recreation; or below a national goal for preventing excess algal growth.
- Percentage of samples with no detection.

### Table: Selected Indicators of Ground-Water Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Domestic Supply Wells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace elements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile organics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentage of samples with concentrations equal to or greater than a health-related national guideline for drinking water.
- Percentage of samples with concentrations less than health-related national guideline for drinking water.
- Percentage of samples with no detection.

1: Insecticides, herbicides, and pesticide breakdown products, sampled in water.
2: Total phosphorus, sampled in water.
3: Nitrate (as nitrogen), sampled in water.
4: Arsenic, mercury, and metals, sampled in sediment.
5: Organochlorine compounds including DDT and PCBs, sampled in fish tissue.
6: Solvents, refrigerants, fumigants, and gasoline compounds, sampled in water.
The Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers join at Pittsburgh, Pa., forming the Ohio River. Historically, these rivers served as a transportation corridor to the West and were of strategic military significance. The Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins were at the focus of the industrial revolution in the United States. In 1990, approximately 4.2 million people lived in the area, and although the land and water uses have changed many times, the legacy of past activities is evident. Today’s stream quality reflects a blend of past and present land uses and the natural quality and quantity of the water in these basins.

Topography and Geology

The Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins (ALMN) lie almost entirely within the Appalachian Plateaus Physiographic Province. The entire study area is underlain by sedimentary rocks that have been fractured in many places by folding and faulting. These rocks carry ground water in much of ALMN and are referred to as fractured-rock aquifers. The northwestern parts of the Allegheny River Basin were glaciated. The glaciers deposited sand, gravel, silt, and clay in the valleys and eroded the hills, leaving a terrain of more consistent altitude (Becher, 1999; McAuley, 1995). The glaciofluvial and alluvial deposits overlying the sedimentary rocks are generally much more permeable and comprise the valley-fill aquifers (Risser and Madden, 1994). Glaciofluvial deposits include sediments left by water flowing within, under, or out of glaciers. In contrast, the Appalachian highlands to the east and southeast have steep, high peaks, ridges, and plateaus that are deeply divided by valleys (fig. 1). Land use is limited by the rough terrain and nutrient-poor soil in much of ALMN, both of which make large agricultural fields impractical. Urban areas generally lie along river valleys. Ridgetops are commonly forested, even in otherwise urban settings.

Ecologically, the streams of these basins present a diversity of habitats. Mountainous areas are generally dominated by streams
that are very low in nutrients and remain cold all year. These streams support trout and a few other cold-water fish species but commonly include diverse aquatic-invertebrate populations. Streams along the western side of ALMN are generally warm-water systems with a much greater diversity of fish species.

**Water Use**

Most water (94 percent) used in ALMN is drawn from surface-water sources. In 1995, 82 percent of water withdrawn in ALMN was for industrial uses or thermoelectric power generation. Although ground-water withdrawals are proportionally small, they are important for public supply or domestic use, especially in rural areas (fig. 2).

Reservoirs have been in place in the study area for more than 150 years for flood control (fig. 3), recreation, navigation, power generation, water quality, and water supply. Nearly all major tributaries have reservoirs constructed on them. The entire length of the Monongahela River and the lower 72 miles of the Allegheny River are maintained for navigation by dams. During dry periods, low streamflows are augmented by reservoir releases to dilute degraded water (Ohio River Basin Commission, 1980).

Nonconsumptive use of the water resource also is extensive in ALMN. Some streams are managed for whitewater sports, boating, or fishing, and some high-quality stream reaches are important for conservation and management of endangered species.

**Hydrologic conditions**

Although streamflow roughly followed normal patterns during 1996–98, flows were substantially higher or lower than normal for short periods in response to weather extremes (fig. 4). Hence, the ALMN water-quality data set includes responses to a wide range of flows while still being largely representative of normal conditions.
The quality of streams, rivers, and ground water reflects complex interactions of natural and human-induced conditions. Natural watershed scale factors such as climate, geography, and topography influence water chemistry and aquatic biological communities. Broad-scale land uses, as well as localized human activities combine with background conditions to influence overall water quality.

Within ALMN, the interaction of a diverse geography and an equally diverse set of land uses influence the quality of the water resource. Surface-water sampling sites were selected in a variety of land-use settings including forest, urban, agriculture, mining, and mixed land use. The study design for ground water focused on assessing the water-quality conditions of major aquifers in ALMN, with emphasis on the quality of recently recharged ground water associated with ongoing and recent human activities (see page 22) (Gilliom and others, 1995). Specific findings from particular land uses and geographic settings are presented in the rest of this part of the report.

Coal Mining Dominates Water Quality

Although not easily represented on land-use maps, mining has the greatest influence on surface and ground-water quality and aquatic habitat of any single land use in ALMN. The area of surface mined land is difficult to quantify because of revegetation; deep-mine activity leaves virtually no trace on the surface.

Coal has been mined in ALMN for more than 200 years and has been central to the economy and lifestyle of many communities. Extensive commercial coal mining began with almost no concern for the protection of the land surface and water resources. Consequently, stream-water quality in much of ALMN was severely degraded—streams became virtually unusable and supported few aquatic species. Mine-related influences have long been recognized as among the most serious and persistent water-quality problems in Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 1996) and West Virginia (West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, 1998), as well as throughout Appalachia, extending from New York to Alabama (Bieseker and George, 1966).

Surface and underground coal mining and coal-cleaning processes expose many elements to weathering. Pyrite and marcasite (iron disulfides also known as “fool’s gold”) are naturally occurring compounds commonly found in coal and in overburden rock. Pyrite is the major source of acid mine drainage (AMD) in the Eastern United States (Rose and Cravotta, 1998). During or after mining, AMD can be formed by a series of complex geochemical and bacterial reactions that occur when pyrite is exposed to air and water (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 1999) (fig. 5). Through these reactions, some dissolved ferrous iron will precipitate out of solution in the form of insoluble ferric hydroxide (fig. 6).

Secondary reactions of the acidic water can bring into solution other constituents in the coal and the overburden rock, such as manganese, aluminum, zinc, arsenic, bar-

\[
\text{Pyrite + Oxygen + Water = Ferrous iron + Sulfate + Acidity}
\]

Nearly all basins greater than 100 square miles within the coal-bearing region of ALMN have been mined at one time or another, many with several coal-extraction techniques.
Dissolved trace elements are not generally reliable indicators of AMD or NAMD because they may not remain in solution. Sulfate, however, is a reliable indicator of mine drainage because sulfate is highly soluble and chemically stable at the pH levels normally found in natural waters (Hem, 1985).

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has established a Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) of 250 mg/L (milligrams per liter) for sulfate. SMCLs are applied to public water supplies and are nonenforceable levels for contaminants that may affect the taste, odor, or appearance of water. High sulfate concentrations in water may cause diarrhea in sensitive populations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999a).

The amount of a constituent carried out of a stream system is called the yield. Sulfate yields were, on average, 5 times greater in stream basins where mining has occurred than in unmined basins sampled monthly in 1997–98 (fig. 7). With one exception (Stony-creek River), yields of dissolved iron and dissolved manganese were similar in mined and unmined basins.

The Stonycreek River had the highest sulfate yield of the 11 sampled streams and is considered to be highly degraded by AMD, primarily from abandoned mines.

**Table 1. Regional background concentrations of constituents influenced by mine drainage were estimated by using the 90th percentile for each constituent from streams unaffected by mining [USEPA, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, mg/L, milligrams per liter; --, not calculated; µg/L, micrograms per liter]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected mining constituents¹</th>
<th>USEPA Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level</th>
<th>Regional background concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved solids</td>
<td>500 mg/L</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5–8.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>250 mg/L</td>
<td>20.8 mg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>300 µg/L</td>
<td>129 µg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>50 µg/L</td>
<td>81 µg/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>50–200 µg/L</td>
<td>23 µg/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Other coal-mining-related constituents include alkalinity and acidity.

**Figure 6.** Reddish-orange iron precipitate is commonly seen in streams affected by acid mine drainage.

**Figure 7.** Sulfate is a more stable indicator of mine activity than dissolved iron or manganese.
Currently, efforts are being made to restore the water quality in this river, mainly through the construction of passive treatment systems to treat abandoned-mine discharges inventoried in 1992–95 (Williams and others, 1996). Since 1995, about $3.5 million has been spent on mine-drainage remediation projects throughout the Stony Creek River Basin, resulting in the removal of iron, aluminum, and acidity from the Stony Creek River at a rate of 111, 133, and 1,192 tons per year, respectively (D. Seibert, Natural Resources Conservation Service, oral commun., 2000). A similar study to identify mine discharges was completed in a Monongahela River tributary, the Cheat River (Williams and others, 1999).

Aquatic Communities are Affected in Streams Receiving Large Amounts of Mine Drainage

Streams receiving mine drainage may range from supporting diverse communities of aquatic life to being lethal to many organisms, depending on a variety of factors. The ecological setting of a stream can affect the types and rates of water-quality changes in response to human influences. Ecoregions and basin size are two factors that relate to differences in aquatic communities. Ecoregions are used to group areas that are ecologically similar and can be expected to have similar aquatic communities. ALMN is divided into six ecoregions (fig. 8), five of which were included in the sampling design in ALMN. Basin size affects species diversity because larger basins tend to have a greater variety of habitats available.

The fish community was sampled at 11 sites in ALMN, 7 of which received mine drainage. A difference in fish abundance and number of fish species was evident between streams in mined basins compared to those in unmined basins. None of the streams sampled had a depressed pH (less than 6.5). In the Central Appalachian Ecoregion, at Stony Creek River, only 2 species (2 individuals) were captured, whereas in Laurel Hill Creek, a similar stream in a nearby unmined area, 16 species (384 individuals) were captured. Where basin sizes were comparable, the presence or absence of coal mining in a basin was evident in some aspects of the fish-community structure (fig. 9).

Few organisms can tolerate even brief periods of acidic or mineral- or silt-laden water. Episodic events or chronic conditions that result in concentrated AMD entering a stream are obvious and result in a nearly complete loss of aquatic species, such as in Stony Creek River. The effects are often more subtle in streams receiving NAMD, where species sensitive to sedimentation, trace-element concentration, or hydrologic changes are affected (Letterman and Mitsch, 1978). In a regional study between ALMN and the Kanawha–New River Basin, 61 sites were sampled for aquatic invertebrates (insects, worms, crustaceans, and mollusks) and water chemistry during a low-flow period in 1998. At sites where sulfate con-
Aquatic life in stream systems where human influence is minimal generally represents a more natural community than in streams strongly influenced by human activity. These sites can be used to define background (reference) conditions that are helpful in interpreting how various land uses change the types and numbers of organisms living downstream. NAWQA examines fish, invertebrate, and algal communities and uses indices based on reference sites as part of assessing water quality. For example, an invertebrate status index (T.F. Cuffney, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 2000) averaged 11 invertebrate-community measures (metrics) used to indicate various aspects of the life cycles of the organisms assessed. This index can be used to make relative comparisons between sites sampled by NAWQA.

An ALMN site, East Hickory Creek near Queen, Pa., whose basin is more than 95-percent forested, had the best quality (lowest invertebrate index score) nationally of 140 sites sampled between 1996 and 1998 (Appendix). In contrast, streams in either urban or coal-mine settings ranked among the highest 25 percent of those sampled.

Figure 9. The number of fish species and the number of individual fish captured per 985-feet stream reach was greater at unmined sites than at mined sites of comparable size. Concentrations were greater than the estimated background level, decreasing diversity was noted for three groups of sensitive insect species (mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies), although pH was 6.5 or greater at all these sites. (See fig. 23 on page 21.)
Ground-Water Quality is Affected Near Mined Areas

During 1996–98, 45 domestic water-supply wells were sampled in ALMN in the high-sulfur coal region of the Appalachian coal fields (Tully, 1996). Water samples were collected from 30 of the 45 wells within about 2,000 feet and hydrologically downgradient (downhill in this area) from a reclaimed surface coal mine. The additional 15 wells are in areas believed to be unmined.

Analysis of ground-water data indicates that surface coal mining continues to affect ground-water quality after all mining and reclamation has ceased. Several constituents related to mine drainage exceeded the USEPA SMCL more frequently in water sampled from wells downgradient from reclaimed surface coal mines than in wells from unmined areas.

Sulfate concentrations exceeded the USEPA SMCL for sulfate (250 mg/L) at 20 percent of domestic wells sampled in mined areas but at no wells sampled in unmined areas. Iron concentrations at wells near mined areas exceeded the SMCL (300 µg/L [micrograms per liter]) in 60 percent of the wells, compared to 20 percent of wells in unmined areas. Similarly, manganese concentrations exceeded the SMCL (50 µg/L) in 70 percent of wells from mined areas compared to 47 percent of wells in unmined areas. Finally, samples from 20 percent of the wells in mined areas exceeded the SMCL for total dissolved solids, whereas samples from only 7 percent of the wells in unmined areas exceeded the SMCL.

Concentrations of mine-related constituents, such as sulfate, iron, manganese, and dissolved solids can exceed SMCLs for drinking water in unmined areas because of the geologic setting (mostly rocks of Pennsylvanian age that can contain high concentrations of iron and manganese). High concentrations of sulfate in ground water of the Appalachian coal fields, however, usually indicates that coal has been mined nearby or in a location hydrologically upgradient from the sample location. Current regulations do not require treatment of mine-discharge water for sulfate. Discharge water is generally regulated and treated to reduce concentrations of iron and manganese and to maintain pH in the range of 6.5 to 8.5 units.

Concentrations of Trace Elements in Bed Sediment Exceed Aquatic-Life Guidelines

Trace elements typically are present in surface-water systems in small amounts. Local geologic conditions or land-use activities can increase the concentration of some elements to levels that may impair aquatic life or limit water use. Trace elements may be dissolved in water, bound to sediments, or incorporated into the tissues of organisms, depending on the chemical properties of each element. In ALMN, several trace elements in addition to zinc and chromium were detected at high concentrations in bed sediment or tissues (Appendix).

Arsenic is a trace element that is potentially damaging to both human health and aquatic life. Increased arsenic concentrations can result from human activity, such as application of pesticides or the combustion of fossil fuel, or from natural weathering of arsenic-bearing rock (Ferguson and Gavis, 1972). Arsenic was detected at concentrations above the estimated background concentration of 5.9 µg/g (micrograms per gram) (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1995) at all 50 bed-sediment sites sampled between 1996 and 1998 in ALMN. The Probable Effect Level (PEL) for arsenic in bed sediment of 17 µg/g (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1995) was exceeded at 12 of 50 sites, where concentrations ranged from 18 to 52 µg/g.

Land use did not appear to be a factor in the arsenic concentrations observed in ALMN, although atmospheric deposition cannot be ruled out. Each of the sites in ALMN where the PEL was exceeded, with the exception of Stonycreek River (a heavily mined basin), were distributed in the northern, once glaciated part of the Allegheny River Basin. Glacial action during the last ice age broke up and moved near-surface rock, exposing this rock to weathering and releasing some arsenic (Welch and others, 1988).

In contrast, concentrations of some other trace elements in ALMN appear to be related to land use. Concentrations of cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, mercury, and zinc each exceeded the PEL aquatic-life guidelines in bed-sediment samples at least once in samples from mined or mixed-land-use sites.

Concentrations of cadmium in whole-fish samples, for which no guidelines exist, are among the highest sampled by NAWQA during 1995–98. Several trace elements (such as nickel) that also have no established guidelines for either bed sediment or tissue are
The acidity of some mine drainage may dissolve and subsequently transport large amounts of trace elements from exposed rock. These trace elements, often found naturally in small amounts, can accumulate in streambed sediments. Trace elements, low levels of which are required by organisms, can reach toxic concentrations when concentrated in food, water, or sediments.

Aquatic-life guidelines, used as a reference level, are based on Environment Canada’s guideline (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1995) and have no regulatory force in the United States. Zinc and chromium were found at all bed-sediment sampling sites in ALMN, and at the 50 sites sampled, the aquatic-life Probable Effects Level (PEL) for zinc (315 µg/g) and chromium (90.0 µg/g) was exceeded at 15 and at 5 sites, respectively (Appendix). Eleven bed-sediment samples from ALMN had zinc concentrations among the highest 10 percent nationally of samples analyzed by NAWQA since 1991. PELs were most often exceeded in areas subjected to industrial or mining land use in ALMN.

Zinc, along with other trace elements that exceed aquatic-life guidelines, may contribute to degradation of aquatic communities in streams. Some sites in ALMN were among the most degraded sites nationally for aquatic invertebrates (Appendix).

**National indicators for invertebrate status (Appendix) with zinc and chromium concentrations in bed sediment, in micrograms per gram of sediment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stream name and location</th>
<th>Predominant land use</th>
<th>Invertebrate status</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
<th>Chromium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>French Creek at Utica, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Hickory Creek near Queen, Pa.</td>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Branch Plum Creek at Five Points, Pa.</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer Creek near Dorseyville, Pa.</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunkard Creek at Shannopin, Pa.</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youghiogheny River at Sutersville, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonycreek River at Ferndale, Pa.</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monongahela River at Braddock, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allegheny River at New Kensington, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

● lowest 25 percent nationally (Least-degraded sites)
○ middle 50 percent nationally
● highest 25 percent nationally (Most-degraded sites)

**TRACE ELEMENTS MAY LIMIT AQUATIC LIFE IN URBAN STREAMS AND MINED AREAS**

The acidity of some mine drainage may dissolve and subsequently transport large amounts of trace elements from exposed rock. These trace elements, often found naturally in small amounts, can accumulate in streambed sediments. Trace elements, low levels of which are required by organisms, can reach toxic concentrations when concentrated in food, water, or sediments.

Aquatic-life guidelines, used as a reference level, are based on Environment Canada’s guideline (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1995) and have no regulatory force in the United States. Zinc and chromium were found at all bed-sediment sampling sites in ALMN, and at the 50 sites sampled, the aquatic-life Probable Effects Level (PEL) for zinc (315 µg/g) and chromium (90.0 µg/g) was exceeded at 15 and at 5 sites, respectively (Appendix). Eleven bed-sediment samples from ALMN had zinc concentrations among the highest 10 percent nationally of samples analyzed by NAWQA since 1991. PELs were most often exceeded in areas subjected to industrial or mining land use in ALMN.

Zinc, along with other trace elements that exceed aquatic-life guidelines, may contribute to degradation of aquatic communities in streams. Some sites in ALMN were among the most degraded sites nationally for aquatic invertebrates (Appendix).

**Water Quality of the Large Rivers of the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins is Improving**

The large rivers sampled in ALMN are important for their environmental and esthetic qualities, as well as sources for drinking water. Sections of the upper Allegheny River are designated federally as Scenic Rivers (Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 2000). French Creek, a tributary of the Allegheny River, supports several State and federally protected endangered species (see page 12) and is an important stream nationally for the protection of aquatic species (Masters and others, 1998). Whitewater rafting on the Youghiogheny and Cheat Rivers is a thriving recreational industry.

The water quality in a river that drains large areas integrates water potentially influenced by a broad range of natural and human factors. The industrial and resource extraction land-use history in ALMN previously resulted in poor water quality in some rivers and streams. Early in the 1900s, fish were rarely found in the lower Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers and then only during high flows, when river water was diluted by surface runoff. Crayfish also were rare, and freshwater mussels had been eliminated (Ortmann, 1909). Ortmann described lower reaches of Monongahela River tributaries, the Cheat River and Youghiogheny River, as degraded by mine drainage. As recently as the mid-1960s, fish surveys on the Monongahela River found zero to four fish species (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1976).

The Allegheny River and Monongahela River sites sampled in this study have been sampled comparably under various USGS programs since the early 1970s, permitting a general comparison of water-quality conditions since that time.

A measure of the acidic and basic properties of natural waters is pH. The pH of source water is useful for determining water-treatment
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fat, reaching higher concentrations in organisms than in the environment. They can accumulate in predators that eat contaminated organisms. In the tissues of animals, these compounds can have a variety of effects including toxicity, reproductive impairment, or cancer. Whole fish from 16 sites in ALMN were analyzed for 28 organochlorine compounds. Streambed sediment was analyzed for 32 compounds at these same 16 sites plus an additional 3 sites (fig. 8). At the sites where both fish and bed sediment were sampled, those compounds detected in both media were present at higher concentrations in fish tissue than in the sediment (Appendix).

Although use of PCBs was discontinued in the United States in the 1970s, PCBs were detected in whole-fish tissue samples at 10 of 16 sites and in sediment at 9 of 19 sites. Only at the Allegheny River at New Kensington, Pa., however, did the concentration of total DDT in fish samples exceed the guideline of 200 mg/kg (micrograms per kilogram) established to protect fish-eating wildlife. Chlordane was detected in 11 of 16 whole-fish samples and in 4 of 19 streamed-sediment samples. The guideline of 500 mg/kg for total chlordane (which also includes breakdown products) for protection of fish-eating wildlife was exceeded in fish samples only at the Monongahela River near Braddock, Pa.

Public-health advisories are in place to restrict consumption or prohibit taking of several fish species from certain sections of the Allegheny and Monongahela Rivers because of PCB and chlordane contamination (Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, 1999). These compounds are relatively stable, are apparently being cycled between aquatic life and bed sediment, and may persist in ALMN for many more years.

**Low Concentrations of Numerous Pesticides were Detected in an Agricultural Stream and an Urban Stream**

Two basins of similar size were chosen to assess the occurrence and distribution of a broad range of pesticides under different streamflow conditions. The Deer Creek Basin represented a predominantly residential/urban setting, and the South Branch Plum Creek Basin represented a predominantly agri-
A note on National biological status scores

Although water-quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life were exceeded for several of the pesticides detected in ALMN, there is no indication that the concentrations have been lethal to the organisms in these streams. National invertebrate and algal scores indicate that these biological communities have not been degraded and are comparable to those at a forested site in ALMN (Appendix). The national fish status score, although indicating that the urban and agricultural setting have better quality fish communities than the forested site, places considerable emphasis on non-native fish species. The forested site is stocked with non-native trout to supplement sport fishing. Abundant non-native fish populations are an indicator of human influence and may point to habitat or water-quality degradation in other situations.

Prometon is the most commonly detected herbicide in surface water and ground water in urban areas (Capel and others, 1999). It is used as a preemergent herbicide to control vegetation on bare ground around buildings and fences, along rights-of-way, and in conjunction with the application of asphalt. Prometon was detected in 90 percent of the samples collected in Deer Creek. The highest measured concentration was 0.355 µg/L in the first of five storm samples collected on August 25–26, 1998. That concentration was more than 10 times the maximum measured concentration in 1997 but is still well below the drinking-water-quality guideline of 100 µg/L. No prometon guidelines have been established for the protection of aquatic life.

The insecticide diazinon is commonly used in homes, gardens, parks, and commercial areas. Detections of diazinon from samples collected in Deer Creek in 1997 showed no seasonal pattern; however, five of the seven detections were in samples collected shortly after a peak in streamflow due to overland runoff. The aquatic-life water-quality guideline for carbaryl of 0.08 µg/L was exceeded in four of the five stormflow samples collected in Deer Creek on August 25–26, 1998.

Pesticides are at Low Concentrations when Detected in Ground Water

Ground-water samples from 58 shallow domestic wells throughout ALMN were analyzed for pes-
Pesticides. One to five pesticide compounds were detected in 34 percent of the samples. Nine different compounds were detected at concentrations ranging from less than 0.001 to 0.17 µg/L. All detections were at or below the method-detection limit. No compounds were detected above drinking water-quality guidelines or standards. The five most frequently detected compounds were the agricultural herbicides atrazine, metribuzin, and metolachlor; the insecticide diazinon; and a breakdown product of atrazine, deethylatrazine.

Of the 58 ground-water samples analyzed for pesticides, 30 samples were from wells in valley-fill aquifers and 28 samples were from fractured-rock aquifers (see page 22). Forty percent of the samples from valley-fill aquifers and 29 percent of the samples from fractured-rock aquifers contained at least one pesticide compound. Deethylatrazine was the only pesticide detected in more than 30 percent of all samples in the valley-fill aquifers. No pesticides were detected in more than 22 percent of sampled wells in the fractured-rock aquifers.

Nine different pesticide compounds were detected in 12 samples from the valley-fill aquifers (fig. 12). The top four detected compounds in samples from valley-fill aquifers were deethylatrazine, atrazine, metribuzin, and diazinon. Two or more pesticide compounds were detected in 20 percent of the samples in the valley-fill aquifers.

### Table 2. Many pesticides are in widespread use for the control of insects (insecticides) or plants (herbicides). Pesticides may be sold under a variety of names, depending on the manufacturer (Table adapted from U.S. Geological Survey, 1999b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Drinking-water-quality guidelines or standards (µg/L)</th>
<th>Aquatic-life water-quality guideline (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td>AAtrex, Atrex, Atred, Gesaprim</td>
<td>Herbicide</td>
<td>3¹</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>Basudin, Diazatol, Neocidol, Knox Out</td>
<td>Insecticide</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin</td>
<td>Panoram D-31, Octalox, Compound 497, Aldrin epoxide</td>
<td>Insecticide</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbaryl</td>
<td>Carbamine, Denapon, Sevin</td>
<td>Insecticide</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metolachlor</td>
<td>Dual, Pennant</td>
<td>Herbicide</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prometon</td>
<td>Pramitol, Princep, Gesagram 50, Ontracic 80</td>
<td>Herbicide</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simazine</td>
<td>Princep, Caliber 90, Gesatop, Simazat</td>
<td>Herbicide</td>
<td>4¹</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Drinking water-quality standard (Maximum contaminant level).

![Figure 12. With exception of diazinon, pesticide-detection frequencies in ground water were higher in the valley-fill aquifers than in the fractured-rock aquifers. (Not shown above is the herbicide EPTC detected in a single sample—0.004 µg/L.)](image-url)
The most frequently detected mixture of compounds was atrazine (or the metabolite deethylatrazine) and metribuzin, detected in 10 percent of samples from the valley-fill aquifers.

Five different pesticide compounds were detected in the samples from the fractured-rock aquifers (fig. 12). The four most frequently detected compounds in samples from the fractured-rock aquifers were diazinon, deethylatrazine, atrazine, and metribuzin. Two or more pesticide compounds were detected in 14 percent of the samples in the fractured-rock aquifers. The most frequently detected mixture of compounds was metribuzin and diazinon, found in 7 percent of the samples from fractured-rock aquifers.

The higher detection frequency of pesticides in the samples from the valley-fill aquifers is most likely a result of greater vulnerability to pesticide contamination due to permeability of aquifer material and contaminant availability (Lindsey and Bickford, 1999). Both aquifers have similar contaminant-availability ratings; however, the valley-fill aquifers consist of unconsolidated sediments and are more permeable than the fractured-rock aquifers.

Volatile Organic Compounds were Detected at Low Concentrations in an Urban Stream

Volatile organic compounds derived from substances commonly used in residential and urban areas, such as gasoline and cleaning solvents, were detected in 24 of the 25 samples collected from Deer Creek (Pittsburgh metropolitan area) in 1997–98. Of the 87 VOCs
analyzed for, 22 VOCs were detected at least once, and 55 percent of those detected were gasoline-related compounds (fig. 13). All measured concentrations of VOCs were well below drinking-water standards and guidelines.

The occurrence of benzene, methylbenzene, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), 1,3,1,4-dimethylbenzene, and naphthalene showed evidence of seasonality in samples collected in 1997. All five compounds were detected in samples collected in February, November, and December, but were absent in samples collected in July, August, and September (fig. 14). Water temperature is a significant factor affecting the concentration and detection of VOCs. VOCs are more likely to be stable and detectable in cold water than in warm water. Warm temperatures tend to cause VOCs to be driven into the atmosphere. VOC concentrations in water can increase by a factor of about 3 to 7 when water temperatures decrease from 25°C (Celsius) to 5°C (Lopes and Bender, 1998).

VOCs can accumulate on impervious surfaces and can be flushed into the receiving stream during storms. Data from five storm samples collected in Deer Creek on August 25–26, 1998, showed that the maximum measured concentrations of acetone, carbon disulfide, benzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, and p-isopropyltoluene in a sample were collected as streamflow increased. The lowest concentrations were observed in the last samples collected as the stream receded. The concentration pattern demonstrates a flush-off effect as rains washed VOCs from the land surface to the stream (fig. 15).

Fourteen VOCs detected in a sample collected on December 10, 1997, may have resulted from a flush of accumulated VOCs from impervious surfaces in addition to a low water temperature (5.0°C). Of the 14 VOCs detected, 10 were gasoline-related compounds.

Low Levels of Volatile Organic Compounds were Detected in Most Domestic Wells Sampled

Of the 95 domestic wells throughout ALMN from which samples were collected for VOC analysis, at least one compound was detected in each of 87 samples (92 percent). A total of 28 different compounds were detected overall. Most samples (60 percent) contained two or more VOCs at detect-
able levels, and one sample contained seven different VOCs.

All VOC detections were at low concentrations. Twelve VOCs were detected at concentrations at or above 0.1 µg/L, including the four most frequently detected compounds (fig. 16). Of the 28 detected VOCs, drinking-water standards have been established for 20. None of the VOCs detected exceeded established drinking-water standards or guidelines.

Thirty of the water samples analyzed for VOCs were from wells downgradient from recently reclaimed surface coal mines (mined sites), and 15 of the water samples were from wells in areas underlain by coal but undisturbed by mining (unmined sites). Perhaps as a result of mine machinery use, fuel spills, or adjacent land use, gasoline-related compounds (1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, benzene, methylenzene, and ethylbenzene) were detected more frequently and at higher concentrations in the samples collected from the mined sites, where these compounds were detected in 29 of 30 samples, compared to 9 detections in 15 samples from unmined sites.

Nitrate is Common in Streams and Ground Water

Nitrate is a nutrient that can affect water used either as a drinking source or as a medium for aquatic life. Nitrate is present naturally in surface water, but elevated concentrations can result in abundant algal growth and toxicity to some aquatic organisms. In well water, nitrate can be a significant health risk at high concentrations. The use of commercial and organic fertilizers and the combustion of fossil fuels has been linked to elevated nitrate concentrations in streams and shallow ground water nationwide (U.S. Geological Survey, 1999a).

In ALMN, 10 stream sites and 95 domestic wells were sampled for nitrate. Samples were collected monthly at the stream sites and once at each well during the period October 1995 through September 1998. Nitrate was detected in all surface-water samples and in 62 percent of ground-water samples. Among wells and streams, only one sample exceeded the USEPA MCL for nitrate in drinking water. The sample was collected from a domestic well in an agricultural setting. The highest median concentration of nitrate in wells and streams was in a stream.
in an agricultural setting, South Branch Plum Creek (fig. 17).

The role of land use on the observed nitrate concentrations was investigated by comparison with a national background concentration for nitrate. The background concentration was estimated from samples collected in undeveloped areas (U.S. Geological Survey, 1999).

Nitrate concentrations in surface-water samples from forested areas in ALMN were less than national background concentration. Among other land uses with potential nitrate sources, concentrations of nitrate often exceeded the background level (figs. 18 and 19).

Activities typical of agriculture and urban/residential land use can lead to an increase in nitrate concentrations. Seasonal fertilizer applications, animal waste, and sewage are common sources of nitrate. Of the sampled streams in ALMN, 73 percent of samples from a stream draining an agricultural area exceeded background nitrate concentrations. In more populated areas (population density greater than 150 people per square mile), 54 percent of stream samples had nitrate concentrations that exceeded background concentrations.

Overall, streams in basins that integrate various land uses within ALMN had lower concentrations of nutrients than those dominated by either dense population or agri-

**Figure 17.** Livestock in South Branch Plum Creek, as in many agricultural basins, contribute nitrate to the ecosystem.

**Figure 18.** Median concentrations of nitrate in streams were higher than those found in ground water.

**Figure 19.** Streams in agricultural areas had the highest percentage of samples that exceeded national background levels for nitrate (0.6 milligrams per liter in streams and 2.0 milligrams per liter (U.S. Geological Survey, 1999a) in shallow ground water).

**Why is nitrate important?**

Nitrate is the primary form of nitrogen dissolved in streams and ground water. Nitrate forms naturally in soil from transformations of nitrogen, nitrogen-based fertilizers, and manure.

Nitrate is the most widespread contaminant in ground water. Because most ground water eventually discharges to streams, the nitrate in ground water can pose a potential threat to surface-water quality. Surface runoff in areas where commercial fertilizers are used, as well as discharges from wastewater treatment facilities, can also contribute nitrate to streams.

Human ingestion of water with nitrate concentrations in excess of the MCL (10 mg/L as nitrogen) can lead to methemoglobinemia, or “blue-baby syndrome,” a sometimes fatal blood disorder in infants.
culture. Areas with both high population density and significant agricultural acreage exceeded background nitrate concentrations in 49 percent of stream samples.

Ground-water samples analyzed for nitrate were collected from wells in areas of mixed land use. Consequently, no agricultural-urban comparisons could be made for nitrate in ground water.

Radon in Ground Water is Common but Highly Variable

Radon is a radioactive gas that is produced naturally in rocks and soils as an intermediate product in the decay of uranium-238. Radon in ground water originates from nearby soil and rock and is a potential contributing source of radon in indoor air. Exposure to airborne radon has been identified by the U.S. Surgeon General as the second leading cause of lung cancer in the United States. About 20,000 deaths per year in the United States are attributed to airborne radon (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999b).

Radon concentrations in 56 percent of the 95 ground-water samples analyzed for radon were greater than 300 pCi/L (picocuries per liter), the USEPA proposed standard for drinking water. About 19 percent of the 95 samples exceeded 1,000 pCi/L (fig. 20). Two percent of the 95 samples exceeded the proposed Alternative Maximum Contaminant Level (AMCL) standard of 4,000 pCi/L. To comply with the AMCL, a State or local water utility must develop indoor air radon-reduction programs and reduce radon levels in drinking water to 4,000 pCi/L (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999b).

Large variation in radon concentration was found in ground water from the two aquifer systems sampled. Samples from wells in the valley-fill aquifers had a median radon concentration of 665 pCi/L; the median for samples from wells in the fractured-rock aquifers was 350 pCi/L. The higher radon concentrations in water of the valley-fill aquifers may be due to higher uranium content of the valley-fill deposits or may derive from the rock underlying these deposits. Samples from wells downgradient from recently reclaimed surface coal mines had a median radon concentration of 236 pCi/L. By comparison, water samples from wells in areas underlain by coal undisturbed by mining had a median radon concentration of 530 pCi/L. This difference may be due to several factors, such as (1) replacement of high-radon content overburden with lower-radon content backfill or (2) a greater release of radon directly to the air and less entrapment in ground water after ground disturbance caused by surface mining.

Is radon a risk from your well?

The only way to be sure of radon concentration in ground water from a specific well is to have it tested. The U.S. Surgeon General recommends testing of indoor air radon levels in all homes (and apartments below the third floor). The USEPA-recommended action level for indoor air radon levels is 4 pCi/L. The USEPA recommends testing well water for radon in homes where indoor air levels of radon are high. High concentrations of radon in well water can significantly contribute to airborne levels indoors. Although few of the 95 wells that were tested in ALMN had high concentrations of radon, the results show considerable variability (fig. 20). Ground water from each well should be checked if radon is a concern. If a large part of the indoor radon is from ground-water contribution, the USEPA recommends water treatment to remove radon.
In a 1998 study to assess regional water-quality effects of coal mining (Eychaner, 1999), samples representing the Northern Appalachian coal field were collected in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins (ALMN), where high-sulfur coal is common and acid mine drainage was historically severe, and samples for the Central Appalachian coal field were collected in the Kanawha-New River Basin (KANA), where acid drainage is uncommon (fig. 21).

Water chemistry in 178 wadeable streams was analyzed once during low streamflow in July and August 1998. Drainage area for most streams was between 4 and 80 mi². Most (170) of these stream sites were also sampled during a 1979–81 study on the effects of coal mining (Britton and others, 1989), before implementation of Surface Mine and Reclamation Control Act (SMCRA) Regulations began to affect regional water quality. At 61 sites, aquatic invertebrates (insects, worms, crustaceans, and mollusks) also were collected. Ground water was sampled from 58 wells near coal surface mines and 25 wells in unmined areas.

**Figure 22.** Stream water exceeded Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels at mined sites more often than at unmined sites.

Water-chemistry in 178 wadeable streams was analyzed once during low streamflow in July and August 1998. Drainage area for most streams was between 4 and 80 mi². Most (170) of these stream sites were also sampled during a 1979–81 study on the effects of coal mining (Britton and others, 1989), before implementation of Surface Mine and Reclamation Control Act (SMCRA) Regulations began to affect regional water quality. At 61 sites, aquatic invertebrates (insects, worms, crustaceans, and mollusks) also were collected. Ground water was sampled from 58 wells near coal surface mines and 25 wells in unmined areas.

**Figure 21.** Coal-bearing rocks underlie 55 percent of the area sampled in the Northern and Central Appalachian bituminous coal fields. (Coal-field locations from Tully, 1996)

Median pH increased and median total iron and total manganese concentrations in streams decreased among mined basins between 1979–81 and 1998 in both coal fields, a reflection that these water-quality characteristics are regulated in mine discharges. Concentrations of sulfate, which is not regulated in mine discharges, exceeded regional background levels at sites downstream from mining (average of about 21 mg/L sulfate in basins with no history of mining) in more than 70 percent of samples.

The highest sulfate concentrations were measured in basins with the greatest coal production. About one-fourth of all samples exceeded 250 mg/L, the USEPA Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) for drinking water, and all these exceedences were in mined basins (fig. 22). When coal mining ceases within a basin, sulfate concentrations gradually decrease (Sams and Beer, 2000).

Manganese, aluminum, and iron at stream sites in many mined basins also exceeded regional background concentrations (table 1). In the 1998 samples from the northern coal field, median total iron was about equal among mined and unmined basins; but in the central coal field, median total iron among mined basins was lower than among unmined basins. In both coal fields, median total manganese among mined basins was about double that among unmined basins. Exceedences of SMCLs for dissolved iron and manganese were more common in mined basins than in unmined basins, and the aluminum SMCL was exceeded in mined basins only.
Invertebrate-Community Impairment Appears Related to Amount of Mining

Invertebrate communities tended to be more impaired in mined basins than in minimally altered basins. Pollution-tolerant species were more likely to be present at mined sites than at unmined sites, whereas pollution-sensitive taxa were few or absent in heavily mined basins. Both an increased sulfate concentration and a decline in some aquatic-insect populations was related to coal production (fig. 23). At sites where sulfate concentrations were above the estimated background level (table 1), the number of taxa of three groups of sensitive insect species (mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies) was reduced, although the pH was 6.5 or greater at all these sites.

At the concentrations measured, the sulfate ion is relatively non-toxic to aquatic organisms and may not represent the cause of the decline in mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies observed. Sulfate is, however, related to the total coal production from a basin (Sams and Beer, 2000). Invertebrate communities may also have been impaired by other large-scale landscape disturbances—for example, changes in hydrology, siltation, or trace-metal contamination, all of which can be caused by increased coal production. The communities in basins affected by low to moderate coal production were similar to communities in basins affected by urbanization, agriculture, large construction projects, flow alterations, or wastewater effluents.

Sulfate and Some Metal Concentrations were Higher in Ground Water near Surface Coal Mines

Sulfate concentrations in ground water generally were higher than regional background concentrations in shallow domestic water-supply wells within 1,000 feet of reclaimed surface mines (fig. 24). Water from such wells in the northern coal field contained more sulfate and calcium than did wells in unmined areas in the same region, or at any of the sites in the central coal field. Iron, manganese, aluminum, magnesium, turbidity, and specific conductance also were higher than regional background concentrations within about 2,000 feet of reclaimed surface mines in both coal fields. Concentrations of calcium and magnesium are higher near mined sites because these elements are components of minewater-treatment chemicals and of some of the rocks associated with coal seams. Ground water near reclaimed surface mines exceeded SMCLs for iron, manganese, sulfate, and aluminum more frequently than ground water in unmined areas (fig. 25). Iron and manganese occur naturally in native coal-bearing rocks, sometimes at high concentrations; however, nearly twice as many ground-water samples at mined sites exceeded SMCLs for iron compared to unmined sites. Wells where SMCLs for sulfate and manganese were exceeded were most commonly in the northern coal field.

![Figure 23](image-url) Sulfate concentration in stream water, an indicator of coal production in a basin, was inversely related to the number of mayfly, stonefly, and caddisfly taxa found at water-quality sampling sites.

![Figure 24](image-url) Sulfate concentrations in ground water generally exceeded regional background levels within about 1,000 feet from surface coal mines.

![Figure 25](image-url) Ground water exceeded Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels in mined areas more often than in unmined areas.
Stream Chemistry and Ecology

Surface-water assessments included water, bed sediment, and fish tissue chemistry; fish, invertebrate, and algal communities; and physical habitat. Sites were chosen across the study area for spatial coverage and distribution in the major aquatic ecological settings within the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins (fig. 26).

Basic and intensive sites were sampled monthly for chemistry and annually for ecological condition. One urban site and one agricultural site also were intensively sampled during storms to assess the influence of storm runoff on stream contaminant concentrations. Eighty-nine additional synoptic sites were sampled once to assess the influence of coal mining on water quality across the study area.

Ground-Water Chemistry

Two reconnaissance-type studies were done. The first focused on the fractured-rock aquifers of the coal-bearing Pittsburgh Series rocks of middle and late Pennsylvanian age. The second was set in the coarse- and fine-grained glaciofluvial deposits of the valley-fill aquifers in the northern area of the Allegheny River Basin (fig. 27).

An additional study that focused on mining land use involved sampling of wells that drew water from the fractured-rock aquifers and that were near surface coal mines where mining and reclamation efforts have been completed. The quality of these samples was compared to that of water from 15 wells sampled in unmined areas of the same aquifers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site number (fig. 26)</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Basin area (square miles)</th>
<th>Site number (fig. 26)</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Basin area (square miles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>East Hickory Creek near Queen, Pa.</td>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Allegheny River at New Kensington, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>11,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>French Creek at Utica, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Monongahela River at Braddock, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>7,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Branch Plum Creek at Five Points, Pa.</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Youghiogheny River at Sutersville, Pa.</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>1,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deer Creek near Dorseyville, Pa.</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dunkard Creek at Shannopin, Pa.</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>4,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION IN THE ALLEGHENY AND MONONGAHELA RIVER BASINS, 1996–98

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Component</th>
<th>What Data Were Collected and Why</th>
<th>Types of Sites Sampled</th>
<th>Number of Sites</th>
<th>Sampling Frequency and Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stream Chemistry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Sites—General Water Chemistry</td>
<td>Concentrations, seasonal variation, and annual loads. Data included streamflow, field measurements, major ions, nutrients, organic carbon, suspended sediment, trace elements.</td>
<td>Basic Fixed Sites: Representative of common land-use mixes, as well as basin outflow sites.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Monthly, April 1996–Sept. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Sites—Pesticides and VOCs</td>
<td>Concentrations and seasonal variations in pesticides. Data included same constituents as above, plus 83 pesticides (dissolved) and 87 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (only 1 site).</td>
<td>Basic Fixed Sites with intensive urban or agricultural land use.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1997, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminants in Bed Sediments</td>
<td>Occurrence and distribution of contaminants in bed sediment. Data include trace elements, organochlorine compounds, and volatile organic compounds.</td>
<td>Depositional zones of most stream sites sampled in other components of study.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Monthly and more frequently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminants in Fish Tissue</td>
<td>Occurrence and distribution of contaminants in biota. Data included total PCBs, 30 organochlorine pesticides in whole fish, and 24 trace elements in fish livers.</td>
<td>Most stream sites sampled in other components of study where tissue could be collected.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Fish Tissue: Summer 1996 and Summer 1997 (Duplicate taxa at two sites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stream Ecology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Assessments</td>
<td>Macroinvertebrates (benthic invertebrates), fish, algae, aquatic and riparian habitat.</td>
<td>Basic Fixed Sites.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1996–97 (10 sites), 1998 (6 sites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synoptic Studies</td>
<td>Unmined basin to compare to mined basins. The same data were collected at Basic Sites.</td>
<td>Intensive Sites.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One 3-reach site 1996 and 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground-Water Chemistry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer Survey—Pittsburgh Series Fractured Rock</td>
<td>Assess quality across aquifer extent. Data include field measurements, major ions, trace metals, nutrients, pesticides, VOCs, radon, dissolved organic carbon (DOC).</td>
<td>Existing domestic wells chosen with a statistically random selection process. Well depth range 30 to 250 feet.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Once in 1996 (July–August)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer Survey—Glaciofluvial Deposits of the Valley-Fill Aquifers</td>
<td>Assess quality across aquifer extent. Data include field measurements, major ions, nutrients, pesticides, VOCs, radon, dissolved organic carbon (DOC).</td>
<td>Existing domestic wells chosen with a statistically random selection process. Well depth range 30 to 250 feet.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Once in 1996 (September–October)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-Use Effects—Surface Coal Mining</td>
<td>Compare ground-water quality near reclaimed surface mines to that in unmined areas. Data include major ions, trace metals, nutrients, VOCs, radon, trace elements, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs).</td>
<td>Existing domestic wells chosen with a statistically random selection process. Well depth range 30 to 250 feet. (Data from 10 fractured-rock sampling sites were re-used as reference data in the Land-use effects study.)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Once in 1997 (August–October)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intensive Site network.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aquifer—A water-bearing layer of soil, sand, gravel, or rock that will yield usable quantities of water to a well.

Background concentration—A concentration of a substance in a particular environment that is indicative of minimal influence by human (anthropogenic) sources.

Bed sediment—The material that temporarily is stationary in the bottom of a stream or other watercourse.


Ground water—In general, any water that exists beneath the land surface, but more commonly applied to water in fully saturated soils and geologic formations.

Herbicide—A chemical or other agent applied for the purpose of killing undesirable plants. See also Pesticide.

Human health advisory—Guidance provided by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, State agencies, or scientific organizations, in the absence of regulatory limits, to describe acceptable contaminant levels in drinking water or edible fish.

Insecticide—A substance or mixture of substances intended to destroy or repel insects. See also Pesticides.

Maximum contaminant level (MCL)—Maximum permissible level of a contaminant in water that is delivered to any user of a public water system. MCLs are enforceable standards established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Method detection limit—The minimum concentration of a substance that can be accurately identified and measured with present laboratory technologies.

Micrograms per liter (µg/L)—A unit expressing the concentration of constituents in solution as weight (micrograms) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water; equivalent to one part per billion in most stream water and ground water. One thousand micrograms per liter equals 1 milligram per liter.

Milligrams per liter (mg/L)—A unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as weight (milligrams) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water; equivalent to one part per million in most stream water and ground water. One thousand micrograms per liter equals 1 mg/L.

Organochlorine compound—Synthetic organic compounds containing chlorine. As generally used, term refers to compounds containing mostly or exclusively carbon, hydrogen, and chlorine. Examples include organochlorine insecticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and some solvents containing chlorine.

Pesticide—A chemical applied to crops, rights of way, lawns, or residences to control weeds, insects, fungi, nematodes, rodents, or other “pests.”

pH—The logarithm of the reciprocal of the hydrogen ion concentration (activity) of a solution; a measure of the acidity (pH less than 7) or alkalinity (pH greater than 7) of a solution; a pH of 7 is neutral.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)—A mixture of chlorinated derivatives of biphenyl, marketed under the trade name Aroclor with a number designating the chlorine content (such as Aroclor 1260). PCBs were used in transformers and capacitors for insulating purposes and in gas pipeline systems as a lubricant. Further sale for new use was banned by law in 1979.

Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL)—The maximum contamination level in public water systems that, in the judgment of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), is required to protect the public welfare. SMCLs are secondary (nonenforceable) drinking water regulations established by the USEPA for contaminants that may adversely affect the odor or appearance of such water.

Semivolatile organic compound (SVOC)—Operationally defined as a group of synthetic organic compounds that are solvent-extractable and can be determined by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. SVOCs include phenols, phthalates, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Suspended sediment—Particles of rock, sand, soil, and organic detritus carried in suspension in the water column, in contrast to sediment that moves on or near the streambed or rests on the bottom of the stream.

Trace element—An element found in only minor amounts (concentrations less than 1.0 milligram per liter) in water or sediment; includes arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc.

Upgradient—Of or pertaining to the place(s) from which ground water originated or traveled through before reaching a given point in an aquifer.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)—Organic chemicals that have a high vapor pressure relative to their water solubility. VOCs include components of gasoline, fuel oils, and lubricants, as well as organic solvents, fumigants, some inert ingredients in pesticides, and some byproducts of chlorine disinfection.

Water-quality guidelines—Specific levels of water quality which, if reached, may adversely affect human health or aquatic life. These are nonenforceable guidelines issued by a governmental agency or other institution.

Water-quality standards—State-adopted and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved ambient standards for water bodies. Standards include the use of the water body and the water-quality criteria that must be met to protect the designated use or uses.

Yield—The mass of material or constituent transported by a river in a specified period of time divided by the drainage area of the river basin.
More than two-thirds of the study area is hemlock and hardwood forest.

Diverse aquatic communities can be found in western tributaries.


This appendix is a summary of chemical concentrations and biological indicators assessed in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins. Selected results for this Study Unit are graphically compared to results from as many as 36 NAWQA Study Units investigated from 1991 to 1998 and to national water-quality benchmarks for human health, aquatic life, or fish-eating wildlife. The chemical and biological indicators shown were selected on the basis of frequent detection, detection at concentrations above a national benchmark, or regulatory or scientific importance. The graphs illustrate how conditions associated with each land use sampled in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins compare to results from across the Nation, and how conditions compare among the several land uses. Graphs for chemicals show only detected concentrations and, thus, care must be taken to evaluate detection frequencies in addition to concentrations when comparing study-unit and national results. For example, metolachlor concentrations in the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins urban stream sampled were similar to the national benchmark, or regulatory or scientific importance.

### CHEMICALS IN WATER

Concentrations and detection frequencies, Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins, 1996–98—Detection sensitivity varies among chemicals and, thus, frequencies are not directly comparable among chemicals

- Detected concentration in Study Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>National frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>Study-unit sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deethylatrazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metolachlor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prometon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other herbicides detected
- Acetochlor (Harness Plus, Surpass) **
- Alachlor (Lasso, Bronco, Lariat, Bullet) **
- Bentazon (Basagran, Bentazon) **
- Bromoxynil (Buctril, Brominal) *
- DCPA (Dacthal, chlorthal-dimethyl) **
- Dichlormethane (Banvel, Dianat, Scotts Purturf) **
- Dichlorprop (2,4-DP, Seritox 50, Lentemul) **
- Diuron (Crisuron, Karox, Diurex) **
- EPTC (Eptam, Farmarox, Allrox) **
- Fenuron (Fenuron, Fendim) **
- MCPA (Phomine, Rohnox, Chiptox) **
- Metribuzin (Lexone, Sencor) **
- Napropamide (Devrinol) **
- Neburon (Neburea, Neburyl, Noruben) **
- Pendimethalin (Pre-M, Prowl, Stomp) **
- Propachlor (Ramrod, Satecl) **
- Tebuhiuron (Spice, Tebusan) **
- Terbacil (Sinbar) **
Herbicides not detected
Benifuralin (Balan, Benefin, Bonalan) * **
Bromacil (Hyvar X, Urox B, Bromax)
Butylate (Sutan ++, Genate Plus, Butilate) **
Chloramben (Amiben, Amilon-WP, Vegiben) **
Clopyralid (Stinger, Lontrel, Transline)
2,4-DB (Butoxane, Embutox Plus, Embutox) **
Dacthal mono-acid (Dacthal breakdown product) **
2,6-Diethylamine (Alachlor breakdown product) **
Dinoseb (Dinosebe)
Ethalfluralin (Sonalan, Curbit) **
Fluometuron (Fio-Met, Cotoran) **
Linuron (Lorox, Linex, Sarclex, Linurex, Alfonal) *
MCPB (Thistrol) **
Molinate (Ordram) **
Norflurazon (Evidal, Predict, Solicam, Zoral) **
Oryzalin (Surflan, Drimal) **
Pebulate (Tillam, PEBC) **
Picolram (Grazon, Tordon)
Propanide (Herb, Propyzamid) **
Propalin (Stam, Stampede, Wham) **
Propham (Tu bilete) **
2,4,5-T **
2,4,5-TP (Silvex, Fenoprop) **
Thiobencarb (Bolero, Saturn, Benthioncarb) **
Triallate (Far-Go, Avadex BW, Tri-altite) **
Triclopyr (Garlon, Grandstand, Redeem, Remedy) **
Trifluralin (Trelfan, Gowan, Tri-4, Trific)

Pesticides in water—Insecticides

Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (in micrograms per liter)</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon (Basudin, Diazatol, Neojadol, Knox Out)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other insecticides detected
Carbaryl (Carbame, Denapon, Sevin)
Carbofuran (Furadan, Curaten, Yaltux)
Chlorpyrifos (Brodan, Dursban, Lorsban)
Carbofuran (Furadan, Curaterr, Y altox)
Carbaryl (Carbamine, Denapon, Sevin)

Insecticides not detected
Aldicarb (Temik, Ambush, Pounce)
Aldicarb sulfone (Stankad, aldoxyarlb)
Aldicarb sulfoxide (Aldicarb breakdown product) p,p'-DDE **
Dieldrin (Panoram D-31, Octalox, Compound 497)
Disulfoton (Disyston, Di-Syston) **
Ethoprop (Mocap, Ethoprophos) **
alpha-HCH (alpha-BHC, alpha-lindane) **
gamma-HCH (Lindane, gamma-BHC) **
3-Hydroxy carbocururan (Carbofuran breakdown product) **
Malathon (Malathion)
Methiocarb (Slug-Getta, Grandslam, Mesurol) **
Methomyl (Lanox, Lannate, Acinate) **
Methyl parathion (Penncap-M, Foliolid-M) **
Oxamyl (Vydate L, Pratit) **
Parathion (Rhoethyl-P, Alkoxon, Panthion, Phoshyl) *
cis-Permethrin (Ambush, Astro, Pounce) **
Phorate (Thimet, Granutox, Geomet, Rampart) **
Propargite (Comite, Omite, Ornimate) **
Propoxur (Baygon, Blattanex, Unden, Proprotox) **
Terbutolos (Contraven, Counter, Pilarfex) **

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in ground water

These graphs represent data from 16 Study Units, sampled from 1996 to 1998

Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (in micrograms per liter)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other VOCs detected
Benzene
Bromochlorodifluoromethane (Bromochlorodifluoromethane)
2-Butanone (Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)) *
sec-Butylbenzene *
Carbon disulfide *
Chlorobenzene (Monochlorobenzene)
Chlorodibromomethane (Dibromochloromethane)
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride) *
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFCl 2, Freon 12)
cis-1,2,1-Dichloroethene (Z-1,2-Dichloroethene)
Dichloromethane (Methylene chloride)

1,2-Dimethylbenzene (o-Xylene)
1,4-Epoxy butane (Tetrahydrofuran, Diethylene oxide) *
Ethynylbenzene (Styrene)
Ethylbenzene (Phenylethylene)
Iodomethane (Methyl iodide) *
Tetrachloroethene (Percloretene)
Tetrachloromethane (Carbon tetrachloride)
Tribromomethane (Bromofomr)
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113) *
1,1,1-Trichloroethene (Methylchloroform)
Trichloroethene (TCE)

1,2,3,Trichloroethylene (Hemimellitene) *
1,2,4-Trichloroethylene (Pseudocumene) *

VOCs not detected
tert-Amyl methyl ether (tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME)) *
Bromobenzene (Phenyl bromide) *
Bromochloromethane (Methylene chlorobromide)
Bromothene (Vinyl bromide) *
Bromomethane (Methyl bromide)
α-Butylbenzene (1-Phenylbutane) *
tert-Butylbenzene *
3-Chloro-1-propene (3-Chloropropene) *
1-Chloro-2-methylbenzene (α-Chlorotoluene)
1-Chloro-4-methylbenzene (p-Chlorotoluene)
Chloroethene (Ethylene chloride) *
Chloroethene (Vinyl chloride)
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP, Nemagon)
1,2-Dibromoethene (Ethylene dibromide, EDB)
Dibromomethane (Methylene dibromide) *
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene (Z-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene) *
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (α-Dichlorobenzene)
1,3-Dichlorobenzene (m-Dichlorobenzene)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-Dichlorobenzene)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Ethylene dichloride)
1,1-Dichloroethene (Ethylidene dichloride) *
1,1-Dichloroethene (Vinylidene chloride)
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene (E-1,2-Dichloroethene)
1,2-Dichloropropane (Propylene dichloride)
2,2-Dichloropropane *
1,3-Dichloropropane (Trimethylene dichloride) *
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene (E-1,3-Dichloropropene)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene (Z-1,3-Dichloropropene)
1,1-Dichloropropene *

Diethyl ether (Ethyl ether) *
Diisopropyl ether (Diisopropylether (DIPE)) *
1,3 & 1,4-Dichlorobenzene (m- & p-Xylene)
Ethyl methacrylate *
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (Ethyl-tert-butyl ether (ETBE)) *

These graphs represent data from 16 Study Units, sampled from 1996 to 1998

Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (in micrograms per liter)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Nutrients in water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>National frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>Study-unit sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia, as N **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved ammonia plus organic nitrogen, as N **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophosphate, as P **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorus, as P **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dissolved solids in water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solids</th>
<th>Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>National frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>Study-unit sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia, as N **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved ammonia plus organic nitrogen, as N **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophosphate, as P **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorus, as P **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trace elements in ground water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace element</th>
<th>Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>National frequency of detection, in percent</th>
<th>Study-unit sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon-222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other trace elements detected

- Lead
- Selenium

Trace elements not detected

- Cadmium
- Uranium
CHEMICALS IN FISH TISSUE AND BED SEDIMENT

Concentrations and detection frequencies, Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins, 1996–98—Detection sensitivity varies among chemicals and, thus, frequencies are not directly comparable among chemicals. Study-unit frequencies of detection are based on small sample sizes; the applicable sample size is specified in each graph.

- Detected concentration in Study Unit
- Frequencies of detection, in percent. Detection frequencies were not censored at any common reporting limit. The left-hand column is the study-unit frequency and the right-hand column is the national frequency.
  - Not measured or sample size less than two
  - Study-unit sample size

National ranges of concentrations detected, by land use, in 36 NAWQA Study Units, 1991–98—Ranges include only samples in which a chemical was detected.

National benchmarks for fish tissue and bed sediment
National benchmarks include standards and guidelines related to criteria for protection of the health of fish-eating wildlife and aquatic organisms. Sources include the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, other Federal and State agencies, and the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment.

- Protection of fish-eating wildlife (applies to fish tissue)
- Protection of aquatic life (applies to bed sediment)
- No benchmark for protection of fish-eating wildlife
- No benchmark for protection of aquatic life

Organochlorines in fish tissue (whole body) and bed sediment

Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent

Other organochlorines detected
- o,p'+p,p'-DDT (sum of o,p'-DDT and p,p'-DDT) *
- Dieldrin+aldrin (sum of dieldrin and aldrin) **
- Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) **
- o,p'-Methoxychlor **
- Pentachlorobenzene (PCA) **
- cis-Permethrin (Ambush, Astro, Pounce) **
- trans-Permethrin (Ambush, Astro, Pounce) **

Organochlorines not detected
- Chloroneb (Chloronebe, Demosan) **
- DOPA (Dacthal, chlorothal-dimethyl) **
- Endosulfan I (alpha-Endosulfan, Thiodan) **
- Endrin (Endrine)
- gamma-HCH (Lindane, gamma-BHC, gammexane) **
- Heptachlor epoxide (Heptachlor breakdown product) **
- Heptachlor+heptachlor epoxide (sum of heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide) **
- Isodrin (Isodrine, Compound 711) **
- p,p'-Methoxychlor (Marlcar, methoxychlor) **
- Mirex (Dechlorane) **
- Toxaphene (Camphechlor, Hercules 3956) **

1 The national detection frequencies for total PCB in sediment are biased low because about 30 percent of samples nationally had elevated detection levels compared to this Study Unit. See http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/ for additional information.
Semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in bed sediment

Study-unit frequency of detection, in percent

National frequency of detection, in percent

Study-unit sample size

Anthraquinone **

Benz[a]anthracene

9H-Carbazole **

Dibenz[a,h]anthracene

Dibenzothiophene **

1,4-Dichlorobenzene (p-Dichlorobenzene) **

2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene **

bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate **

Fluoranthene

9H-Fluorene (Fluorene)

Naphthalene

Phenanthrene

Phenol **

Pyrene

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER KILOGRAM, DRY WEIGHT

0.1 1    10     100    1,000    10,000  100,000

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER KILOGRAM, DRY WEIGHT

0.1 1    10     100    1,000    10,000  100,000

CONCENTRATION, IN MICROGRAMS PER KILOGRAM, DRY WEIGHT

Other SVOCs detected

Acenaphthene
Acenaphthylene
Acridine **
C8-Alkylphenol **
Anthracene
Benz[a]pyrene
Benzo[a]flouranthene **
Benzo[ghi]perylene **
Benzo[k]fluoranthene **
Butylbenzylphthalate **
Chrysene
p-Cresol **
Di-n-butylphthalate **
Di-n-octylphthalate **
Diethylphthalate **
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene **
1,6-Dimethylnaphthalene **
3,5-Dimethylphenol **
2-Ethynaphthalene **
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene **
Isocoumarin **
1-Methyl-9H-fluorene **
2-Methylnaphthacene **
4,5-Methylenephenanthrene **
1-Methylnaphthacene **
1-Methylpyrene **
Phenanthridine **
Quinoline **
2,3,6-Trimethylnaphthalene **

SVOCs not detected

Azobenzene **
Benzo[a]pyrene **
2,2-Biquinoline **
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether **
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane **
2-Chloronaphthalene **
2-Chlorophenol **
4-Chlorophenol-phenylether **
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (p-Dichlorobenzene) **
1,3-Dichlorobenzene (m-Dichlorobenzene) **
2,4-Dinitrotoluene **
isophorone **
Nitrobenzene **
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine **
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine **
Pentachloronitrobenzene **
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene **

Trace elements in fish tissue (livers) and bed sediment

BIOLOGICAL INDICATORS
Higher national scores suggest habitat disturbance, water-quality degradation, or naturally harsh conditions. The status of algae, invertebrates (insects, worms, and clams), and fish provides a record of water-quality and stream conditions that water-chemistry indicators may not reveal. Algal status focuses on the changes in the percentage of certain algae in response to increasing siltation, and it often correlates with higher nutrient concentrations in some regions. Invertebrate status averages 11 metrics that summarize changes in richness, tolerance, trophic conditions, and dominance associated with water-quality degradation. Fish status sums the scores of four fish metrics (percent tolerant, omnivorous, non-native individuals, and percent individuals with external anomalies) that increase in association with water-quality degradation.

Biological indicator value, Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins, by land use, 1996–98
- Biological status assessed at a site

National ranges of biological indicators, in 16 NAWQA Study Units, 1994–98
- Streams in undeveloped areas
- Streams in agricultural areas
- Streams in urban areas
- Streams in mixed-land-use areas
- 75th percentile
- 25th percentile

Algal status indicator

Invertebrate status indicator

Fish status indicator
Coordination with many agencies and organizations in the Allegheny-Monongahela River Basins Study Unit was integral to the success of this water-quality assessment. We thank those who served as members of our liaison committee.

**Federal Agencies**
- National Park Service
- Natural Resources Conservation Service
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Forest Service
- U.S. Geological Survey
- U.S. Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Enforcement

**State Agencies**
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- New York State Geological Survey
- Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture
- Pennsylvania Topographic & Geologic Survey
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
- Pennsylvania Fish & Boat Commission
- West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection
- West Virginia Department of Natural Resources
- West Virginia Geological and Economic Survey

**Local Agencies**
- Allegheny County Department of Health
- Erie County Department of Public Health and Safety
- Greene County Conservation District
- Seneca Nation Health Department
- Somerset County Conservation District

**Universities**
- Allegheny College
- California University of Pennsylvania
- Carnegie Mellon University
- Pennsylvania State University
- University of Pittsburgh
- West Virginia University

**Other public and private organizations**
- Allegheny Watershed Network
- Allegheny County Sanitary Authority
- American Crop Protection Association
- French Creek Project
- Friends of the Cheat
- Jennings Environmental Education Center
- Ohio River Basin Commission
- Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission
- Western Pennsylvania Coalition for Abandoned Mine Reclamation
- Western Pennsylvania Conservancy

We thank the following individuals, agencies, and organizations for contributing to the success of this study:

- Property owners throughout the Allegheny and Monongahela River Basins, for granting permission to access their property and to sample their wells.
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection offices in Ebensburg and Greensburg, Pa., for providing access to mine permits.
- West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection offices in Philippi and Nitro, W.Va., for providing access to mine permits.
- Randy Robinson, for providing white water rafting photographs and rafting guide services on the Cheat River.
- Dick Snyder, Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission, for providing access and copies of fish community and survey data.
- Jay Stauffer, Pennsylvania State University and students for assistance with electrofishing.
- Charles Durista, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Jay Hawkins, U.S. Office of Surface Mining, and Jen Novak, Allegheny River Alliance, for providing review comments for this report.
- Technical and editorial reviewers in Lemoyne, Pa., Dr. J. Kent Crawford and Bruce Lindsey.
- The Reports Publishing Unit, Kim Otto, James Bubb, and Terriann Preston, in Lemoyne, Pa., for their outstanding efforts in bringing this report to publication.
- Project staff, including seasonal, temporary, student and volunteer staff members of the Pittsburgh office of the U.S. Geological Survey, who assisted in various aspects of this study, including Thomas Noonan, Gregory Wehner, Devon Renock, Erik Eismont, Scott Coulson, Jeffery Weitzel, Greg Hawkins, Jay Hawkins, Craig Uzelac, Douglas Chichester, Adam Locke, Rachel Mowery, Juliane Bowman Brown, and Julie Baldizar.
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